

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

			EIDGE MAN (ED MAND)	Lamanaumu naaummaa I	
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,752	09/987,752 11/15/2001		Ji Hyun Hwang	MRE-0038	7446
34610	7590	03/04/2004		EXAM	INER
FLESHNE	R & KIN	Л, LLP	CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
P.O. BOX 2	21200				
CHANTILL	Y, VA	20153	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3729	7
				DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	4 <i>I</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			_				
			09/987,752	HWANG ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication ann	Rick K. Chang	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>13 Ja</u>	nuary 2004.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no serious burden. This is not found persuasive because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement. Furthermore, these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above Paper No. 5 and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following: (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;

Application/Control Number: 09/987,752 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

(2) if an article, its method of making;

- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- 6. Claims 1-2 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hata et al (US 4,708,232) in view of Hwang (US 6,526,651).

The applied reference has a common assignee and an inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by:

(1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective

Art Unit: 3729

U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Hata discloses a plurality of transfers (1 and 4), a movable conveyer (3), a plane power transmission device (31 and 32) below the conveyer, transfer rollers and belts (16 and 17 for 1 and similar rollers and belt for 4), a ball screw, a first conveyer roller (16 and 17 for 1 and similar rollers and belt for 3), and a controller (9) intermittently move the substrate.

Hata fails to disclose a second movable conveyer, the plane power transmission device to move the second movable conveyer, and the first and second conveyer lifting members.

Hwang discloses a plurality of conveyers (32), the plane power transmission device to move the second movable conveyer (Fig. 6), and the first and second conveyer lifting members (col. 5, lines 61-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hata by providing a second movable conveyer, the plane power transmission device to move the second movable conveyer, and the first and second conveyer lifting members, as taught by Hwang, for the purpose of increasing mounting speed.

Art Unit: 3729

7. Claims 3-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hata et al (US 4,708,232)/Hwang (US 6,526,651) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kikuchi et al (US 6,590,355).

Hata/Hwang discloses a linear motor.

Hata/Hwang fail to disclose a moving magnet and a moving coil type linear motor and a plane stator frame is below the first and second plane movers.

Kikuchi discloses a moving magnet and a moving coil type linear motor and a plane stator frame is below the first and second plane movers (col. 2, lines 1-11 and Figs. 10A-10F).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hata/Hwang by providing a moving magnet and a moving coil type linear motor and a plane stator frame is below the first and second plane movers, as taught by Kikuchi, for the purpose of moving fast to target locations.

#### Conclusion

8. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Art Unit: 3729

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC March 1, 2004